(C)

Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2013



Regn. No. KERBIL/2012/45073 dated 5-9-2012 with RNI

Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/634/2012-14

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. II വാല്യം 2

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY

തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ

12th March 2013 2013 മാർച്ച് 12

21st Phalguna 1934 1934 ഫാൽഗുനം 21 $\left.\begin{array}{c} No. \\ nonid \end{array}\right\}$

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G.O. (Rt.) No. 122/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 16th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Sri A. R. Sharaffudeen, (Proprietor), Al Ameen Medicals, Darul Aman, Manayilkulangara, Thirumullavaram P. O., Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri V. Sivarajan, S. P. Nivas, Thirumullavaram P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether there is denial of compensation/service benefits to Sri V. Sivarajan, Pharmacist for his service rendered from 1-1-2002 to 31-12-2011 by the management of Al-Ameen Medicals, Kollam? If yes, what is the quantum?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 175/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager, Unipharma, 44/511 A(1), Opposite Pavakkulam Temple, Ernakulam, Cochin-17 and the workman of the above referred establishment represented by the District Secretary, Ernakulam District Medical Employees Union (CITU), Reg. No. 07-54/97, Corporation Building, Kaloor Bus Stand, Cochin-17 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Sri C. K. Subramanyan by the management of Unifarma, Whole Sale Pharmaceutical Distributers, Kaloor is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs he is entitled to?

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 176/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 28th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Group Manager, Nilagiri Tea Estates Limited, Stagbrook Estate, P. B. No. 2, Peermade P. O., Idukki District, Pin-685 531 and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, High Range Plantation Workers Union, Peermade, Peermade P. O., Idukki District, Pin-685 531 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Idukki (Peermade). The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment (Making and Supplying tea for workers) of Smt. Krishnakumari, C. R. No. 2573, Permanent Worker Stagbrook Estate, Peermade, managed by the Nilagiri Estate Ltd. by the Management with effect from 31-1-2012 is justifiable? If not, what reliefs she is entitled to?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 204/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) the Managing Director, M/s Prima Beverages Pvt. Ltd., Prima House, South Kalamassery-682 033, (2) The Managing Director, M/s PAPL EXIM India Ltd., 4/758, South Kalamassery-682 033 and the workman of the above referred establishment Smt. Gorothy Ganther W/o Johny, Type 2P21/5, NAD Quarters, NAD P. O., Aluva in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal from service of Smt. Gorothy Ganther by the managements of Prima Beverages Pvt. Ltd. and PAPL EXIM India Ltd. is justifiable? If not, what relief she is entitled to?

(5)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 205/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Secretary, St. Marys Educational & Cultural Society, Paliakkara, Thiruvalla-689 101, (2) The Principal, St. Marys Residential Central School, Alappuzha and the workmen of the above referred establishment Smt. Bindhu Prabhakar, Panchami, Pathirapally P. O., Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal Smt. Bindu Prabhakar, Cashier, St. Marys Residential Central School, Alappuzha by the management of St. Marys Educational and Cultural Society, Alappuzha is justifiable? If not, what are the reliefs she is entitled to?

(6)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 206/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 29th January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between (1) The Managing Director, Kerala State Homeopathic Co-operative Pharmacy Limited (Hompco.), Pathirappally P. O., Alappuzha-688 521, (2) Chief Pharmacy Officer, Kerala State Homeopathic Co-operative Pharmacy Limited (Hompco.), Pathirappally P. O., Alappuzha-688 521 and

the workmen of the above referred establishment Smt. Yamuna, M. S. D/o Saraswathyamma, Sayoojyam, S.L. Puram P. O., Mararikkulam North, Alappuzha in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Yamuna, S. M., in Kerala State Homeopathic Co-operative Pharmacy, Alappuzha by the management is justifiable? If not, what are the relief she is entitled to?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 218/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, M/s Al-hind Tours & Travels, Calicut and the workman of the above referred establishment Sri K. P. Venugopal, Kollathu Veedu, P. O. Thiruvannur, Calicut-613 029 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the termination of Sri K. P. Venugopal, Kollath, P. O. Thiruvannur, Calicut from service by the management of M/s Al-hind Tours & Travels, Calicut is justifiable? If not, what relief he is entitled to get?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 219/2013/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 31st January 2013.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Chairman & Managing Director, Yogakshemam Kuries & Loans Limited, Yogakshemam Apartment, Thiruvambadi, Thrissur-680 022 and the worker of the above referred establishment Smt. Jayanthi, C. K., Prakash Nivas, Thiruvonam House, Kavalappara P. O., Shornur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Jayanthi, C. K., Branch in Charge, Yogakshemam Kuries & Loans Limited, Kulappully by the management is justifiable or not; if not what relief she is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

RAMANKUTTY, C.,

Under Secretary to Government.